

**REMARKS**

The specification has been editorially revised. Claims 1, 5, and 6 have been amended. Claims 1-9 remain in the application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the original claims and other claims in this and other applications.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as being not enabled. Reconsideration is respectfully requested. The claims have been amended to obviate the rejection. The claims as amended no longer refer to "parameters specific to the computer."

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Machida. Reconsideration is respectfully requested. The claims have been amended to obviate the rejection.

Claim 1, as amended, says that the searching step is "based on said computer or a user of said computer." This is an important aspect of the claimed invention. Please refer, for example, to page 21, lines 14+ of the specification, and Figs. 9 and 10, showing host name and log-in name as the key parameter, respectively. Machida fails to disclose or suggest the step of acquiring data corresponding to the recited parameters by searching an installation database, "wherein said searching is based on said computer or a user of said computer."

Claims 2-4 depend from claim 1 and should be allowable along with claim 1 and for other reasons. Claims 6-9 recite limitations similar to those discussed above, and should be allowable for at least those reasons as well.

Claim 5 has been amended to emphasize that the same template may be provided to multiple computers, whereas the produced scenarios are specific to such computers. Machida fails to disclose or suggest a printing software-installing method which has the steps of "producing a template . . . which is a file describing procedures for installing the software," "providing said template to each of said computers," and "producing scenarios, specific to each of said computers, based on the produced template." Consequently, claim 5 should be allowable over Machida, and also there are other reasons why the claims should be allowable over Machida and the other references of record.

In view of the above amendment, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance. Allowance of the application with claims 1-9 is solicited.

Dated: January 29, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Mark J. Thronson

Registration No. 33,082  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1825 Eye Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-5403  
(202) 420-4742

Attorneys for Applicant